

violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital imaging devices and related software by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,031,964 and RE 38,911. The complaint named Eastman Kodak Company of Rochester, New York ("Kodak") as respondent.

On May 12, 2011, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding no violation of section 337 by Kodak with respect to any of the asserted claims of the asserted patents. Specifically, the ALJ found that the accused products do not infringe the asserted claims of the '964 patent. The ALJ also found that none of the cited references rendered the asserted claims obvious, and that Kodak is not a co-owner of the patent. Regarding the '911 patent, the ALJ found that the accused products do not infringe its asserted claims. The ALJ also found that the prior art anticipates and invalidates the asserted claims and that Kodak is not a co-owner of the patent. The ALJ concluded that an industry exists within the United States that practices the '911 patent but that a domestic industry does not exist with respect to the '964 patent as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2).

On June 1, 2011, Apple filed a petition for review of the ALJ's findings related to the '964 patent. Apple did not petition for review of any of the ALJ's findings related to the '911 patent. On June 9, 2011, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") and Kodak filed respective responses to Apple's petition for review. Neither the IA nor Kodak filed petitions or contingent petitions for review of the ID.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–46).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: July 18, 2011.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–18435 Filed 7–20–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act, Sections 113(b) and 304(a), 42 U.S.C. 7413(b), 7604(a)

Notice is hereby given that on July 13, 2009, a proposed Second Amendment Consent Decree in United States of America; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; City of Philadelphia; State of Oklahoma; and State of Ohio v. Sunoco, Inc., Civil Action 05–02866, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

This Second Amendment to the Consent Decree amends the Consent Decree entered by the Court on March 20, 2006 as well as the First Amendment to the Consent Decree entered by the Court on June 3, 2009. Specifically, the Second Amendment changes the date of completion of installation of pollution control equipment from June 2013 to June 2015. The second Amendment requires Sunoco to perform other pollution control measures in the interim time period, including lowering emissions limits and installing controls on other equipment to achieve greater reduction of emissions.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Amended Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States of America; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; City of Philadelphia; State of Oklahoma; and State of Ohio v. Sunoco, Inc.*, Civil Action 05–02866, D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–1744/1.

The Amended Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Zane D. Memeger, 615 Chestnut Street, Ste. 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106, (215) 861–8200.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Amended Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no.

(202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2011–18363 Filed 7–20–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on July 13, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree was lodged with the District Court of Massachusetts, in *United States v. Polyfoam Corp.*, Civil Action No. 4:11–cv–40134.

In this action, the United States sought penalties and injunctive relief for the Defendant's violations of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, at its molded foam manufacturing facility in Northbridge, Massachusetts. To resolve the United States' claims, the Defendant will pay a penalty of \$127,500, and will install air emission controls at its plant to reduce its emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds into the air.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decrees for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States v. Polyfoam Corp.*, DOJ Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–09522.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, United States Courthouse, 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston, MA 02210, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

During the public comment period, the proposed agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. Copies of the proposed agreements may also be obtained by mail from the Consent